Fields coloured in dark blue were not answered by respondend

| | Voluntary Carbon Market Association |
|--|--|
| Australia | Yes |
| | Predominantly through the use of LGCs self retirement, which can occur without the costs associated with the GreenPower program. This causes a self |
| 1a. Please explain why. | perpetuating cycle where lower GreenPower sales creates higher volumetric fees and greater disincentive to purchase GreenPower. |
| 1b. Are there any other key drivers not included | |
| here? | |
| 1c. If yes, please list. | |
| 2.Should a vintage requirement for | |
| GreenPower certificates be introduced? | No |
| | A minor but unnecessary change which again adds to the providers' cost of compliance. The VCMA is yet to understand how banning older certificates will have a |
| | material impact on the creation of new generation. The LGC market in Australia is not comparable to certificates denominated in either MWh or tCO2-e in many |
| 2a. Please explain why. | other international upon which ths convention is based. jurisdictions. |
| 2b. What should the validity period be for a | |
| vintage requirement for GreenPower | |
| certificates? | |
| 3. Do you agree with GreenPower aligning its | |
| generator accreditation dates with the CER | |
| accreditation date? | Yes |
| | |
| 4. Does Option A sufficiently address the | |
| demand from stakeholders to recognise the RET | |
| for 100% renewable electricity claims? | Yes |
| 4a. Please explain why. | This would appear to be a reasonable compromise to the branding and over-purchasing issue. |
| 5. 5What are the advantages of Option B? | None -Difficult to explain to customers. |
| 5a. Would fixing the recognised RET percentage | · |
| be a good solution to deal with the annual | |
| changes to the RPP? | Yes |
| 5b. Please explain why. | Perhaps 20% but do we absolutely know what the RET will be in the future? |
| 6.The proposal is a solution that can be quickly | Service and the service of the servi |
| implemented. Should GreenPower consider a | |
| different approach in its long-term program | |
| design? | Yes |
| 6a. Please explain why. | As above |
| 7. Which minimum percentage do you think is | |
| the most appropriate if Option B noted in 4.3.2 is | |
| chosen? | |
| chosen: | Whatever the percentage is, it should be additional to the RET unless 100%. Other than for households it is confusing. Minimum percentage of what? The NMI? |
| 7a. Please explain why. | The organisation? The facility? |
| 8.Should GreenPower's mission expand to | The organisation: The facility: |
| include all forms of renewable energy, for | |
| | No. |
| example hydrogen? | No |

| | If it is part of a network which includes fossil fuel then it will degrade the brand. Particularly as the inclusion of Hydrogen into current networks can only ever be |
|--|--|
| 8a. Please explain why. | minuscule and as such will simply be used to provide unrealistic expectations for consumers as to the sustainability;ity of gas networks. |
| 8b. Is the role of GreenPower the same across | initializate and as such with simply be used to provide afficultural to consumers as to the sustainability by or gas networks. |
| different energy carriers? | |
| 8c. Please explain why. | |
| | |
| 9.Is there anything else that you think should | |
| be part of GreenPower's mission statement? | Yes |
| 9a. If yes, please list. | Over time GreenPower should represent new generation. As such a phasing in of a rolling generator vintage should occur. |
| 10. Please give each of the below items a score | |
| between 1 and 5 for how important it should be | |
| for the development of the program's | |
| mission and objectives, 5 being of the highest | |
| importance. You can give the same score to | |
| several items. | |
| - Increase awareness and demand for voluntary | |
| renewable energy products | 3 |
| 10 Decrease nationwide greenhouse gas | |
| emissions from energy use | 3 |
| 10 Support new voluntary markets for | |
| emerging renewable energy and fuel types | |
| 10 GreenPower products should be 100% | |
| renewable | 2 |
| 10 GreenPower products should lead to new | |
| and additional renewable energy projects being | |
| built and dispatched | 5 |
| | |
| 10 GreenPower products should be | _ |
| transparent, independently audited and assured | 5 |
| 10 CroopPower products should be affordable | 3 |
| 10 GreenPower products should be affordable10 GreenPower products should be aligned | 3 |
| with best practice carbon accounting | |
| frameworks | 3 |
| 10 GreenPower products should enable | 3 |
| consumers to reduce and avoid energy-related | |
| emissions | 4 |
| | 7 |
| 10 GreenPower products should support best | |
| practice in renewable energy development to | |
| improve environmental, social and economic | |
| outcomes in their host communities | 2 |
| | |

| 10 Advocate for consistent and best practice | |
|--|--|
| renewable energy and carbon accounting | 4 |
| 10 Advocate for best practice energy product | |
| marketing to enable informed decision making | |
| by consumers | |
| by consumers | |
| 12. Should GreenPower focus on maximum | |
| additionality, electricity carbon accounting, or | |
| should both types of products be supported? | Maximum additionality, |
| 13.Should a vintage requirement for | |
| GreenPower certificates be considered in the | |
| long-term design of GreenPower? | Yes |
| | |
| | Only in the long term . As Already explained, not a major issue currently as LGCs are totally fungible across voluntary and compliance markets. Those that do not |
| | meet the vintage requirements of GreenPower will simply be sold into the compliance market. Similarly any generators/traders that are banking old certificates |
| 13a. Please explain why. | can simply sell off the old certificates and bank the new ones once they become aware of vintage restrictions. |
| 14. Should GreenPower consider a generator age | |
| limit approach? | Yes |
| арргозоп | |
| | Why should a generator which has already exceeded its payback period receive further funding/subsidies? Given that the amount of money available for |
| 14a. Please explain why. | GreenPower / voluntary schemes is finite, then subsidies granted to pre-existing generators will be at the expense of generation yet to be built. Suggest 5 years. |
| Trail rease explain why. | dicein ower y voluntary schemes is time, their substates granted to pre-existing generators will be at the expense of generators years. |
| 15.Should GreenPower restrict participating | |
| generators to new projects only? | No |
| generators to hear projects only. | |
| | This will create issues of flexibility and knock out many customers and providers. What will happen to the volumetric fees which currently support the program if |
| 15a. Please explain why. | sales decrease even further. In any case with a rolling 5 year validity period it will eventually just be supporting new generation. |
| 16.How well would this option deliver on the | Secretary for the secretary fo |
| GreenPower mission and objectives? | Not well at all |
| 16a. Does this differ for households, small and | |
| large businesses? | Yes |
| 16b. Please explain why. | The lack of flexibility would make it all but impossible for customers of current smaller providers to access GreenPower. |
| 100. Fredde explain willy. | The lack of healthirty would make it all but impossible for customers of current smaller providers to access dream over. |
| 17. Which organisations would be most suited | |
| to partner with GreenPower to drive awareness | |
| and uptake of GreenPower, and why? | |
| 18. Would you support GreenPower increasing | |
| program fees so that the program manager can | |
| increase its marketing and promotional | |
| activities? | No No |
| detivities, | litte |

| 19. Should retailers be blocked from joining | |
|---|--|
| GreenPower if they sell green products that are | |
| not linked to renewable energy generation? | Yes |
| | |
| 20. What other changes to the program could | but it depends upon the marketing message/terminology. i.e Zero emissions electricity, Carbon Neutral electricity is easily confused for GreenPower or "green" |
| provide the same level of clarity for consumers? | power". |
| · · | |
| 21. Should GreenPower set strict requirements | |
| for how providers promote GreenPower and | |
| onboard GreenPower customers, i.e. how easy it | |
| is to get GreenPower? | Yes |
| 22. Are there any other customer segments that | |
| are unable to access GreenPower? | No |
| | |
| 24. Should Green Power reduce its accreditation | |
| requirements, or make them stricter? | GreenPower reduce its accreditation requirements |
| 24a. what do you think is the benefit of either | |
| approach? | Decrease the cost of compliance for GreenPower as opposed to self surrender of LGCs which otherwise lead to the same environmental claim. |
| 25. What are the most important aspects that | |
| GreenPower should consider in its generator | |
| assessment? | Additionality |
| | |
| 26. Do you see value in an official environmental | |
| rating for electricity retailers, and in GreenPower | |
| developing this rating? | |
| | |
| 27. How could this be made administratively | Perhaps but unless administered strictly will lead to green washing by clever PR departments able to exploit terminological inconsistencies. I.e. does a company |
| | |
| that perform well environmentally? | What does it mean to be "close" to wind-farm operators. |
| | |
| 30. How important is 24/7 renewable electricity | |
| coverage to businesses in Australia? | Not at all important |